# Campus Assessment Portal (CAP) User Guide



#### 29(59,(:

Identify a Signature Assignment: You will need to identify an assignment you give to students that aligns with your selected ILO.

Score Your Assignment using a VALUE rubric: You will choose from a list of provided rubrics to score your students on your signature assignment.

Enter your scores into the campus assessment portal (CAP): Your student scores will be entered into the CAP system using a link we provide you.

#### +RZ ZLOO \RXU DV VDE MVXPVH-QQW GDW

Assessment data is summarized in aggregate and allows PC to have a campus snapshot of where our students are in terms of our institutional learning outcomes. The data can answer T X H V W L R QWW WIXIF, K2 ¶ 10 U H U H DW 0 B V 12 H ICD F XaOdWhich are not) " ´On which general education outcomes do our students show strengths or weaknesses " ´ ³ + R 2R V W X 12 M O O YHH O UF VRHX R P S D U HWZX 0 O YHH O F R XINJOW hat/o'n gained from this overview can be used to inform campus decision-making, professional development offerings, and the improvement of our educational practices.

Assessment data is not an evaluation of an individual faculty or staff member.

#### +2: 72 (17(5 \$66(6607 '\$7\$

## 67(3) 3+2(1,; &2/!/(,/2.6)

7 K H I L U V W V W H S L V W R I D P L O L D U Ltiphel Leaxnlug/ObucodmæsL W/k2 Ps& V Q H Our previous general education outcomes (numeracy, critical thinking, writing, oral presentation, and information literacy) are encapsulated in the intellectual skills ILO and new ,/2 V K D Y H E H H Q D G G H G I I ThU 2DX E U OR HD ZG H/12 PD/S IS U R D

Specialized Knowledge

Broad and Integrative Knowledge

Intellectual Skills

Applied and Collaborative Learning

Civic and Global Learning

## 67(3 6(/(&7,1\*5\$\%5,&

Phoenix College has adopted the VALUE approach to the assessment of student learning outcomes. VALUE stands for the Valid Assessment of Learning in Undergraduate Education. Led by the AAC&U, teams of academic professionals across the country collaborated to develop a set of rubrics to determine how well students are achieving learning outcomes that are considered essential by both faculty and employers. To date, the VALUE rubrics have been used by more than 70,000 individuals and more than 2,200 colleges and universities.

As opposed to standardized tests, the VALUE approach draws evidence of student learning based on the actual work (papers and assignments) that students produce in response to course requirements. Faculty judge the quality of the work against the shared standards of the DQP ('HJUHH 4XDOLILFDWLRQV 3URILOH RQ ZKLFK 3&¶V ,/2¶V D

Once you have selected an ILO for your project, you will need to choose the specific VALUE rubric that best aligns with the learning outcome for your assignment. Score each st X G H Q W ¶ V work using the rubric.

The appendix includes a description of each of the VALUE RUBRICS associated with each PC ILO (see table on Page 4).

## 67(3) (17(5,1\*)\*7,1727+(3257\*/

2 Q F H \ R X ¶ Y H V F R U H G \ R X U V W X G H Q W V X V Lto Qed te Dth @ \$\alpha \tag{a} i \text{fin to } X E U L F the Campus Assessment Portal (CAP).

Use the Qb link provided to you by the assessment coordinators:

KWWSV PDULFRSDF[ VMF TXDOWULFV FRP MIH IRUP 69B US5Z2\*

You will be asked to enter basic information such as your name, your department, and your course.

You will be asked to upload your assignment (including the instructions the students receive). Please make sure to complete this step, as we can use this information to categorize or summarize the types of assignments that are being assessed

# 6SHFLDOL]HG .QRZOHG.

Description: Phoenix College seeks to guide students in developing the range of theoretical and practical knowledge, competencies, and skills needed in a specialized profession or field of study. Students are guided on instruction that leads to deeper knowledge and skill building LQ D VWXGHQWV¶ FKRVHQ FDUHHU ILHOG

#### **Alto**n

- Beptettylectupig
   H -tyrdiptetabl

  B
   Beptetetyte

  G
   Beptetetyte

  tyre

  tyr
- ं क्षेत्र स्थान स्यान स्थान स्यान स्थान स्थान

The following seven rubrics may be used to measure various aspects of specialized knowledge:

- 1A: Inquiry/Analysis on Existing Knowledge, Research and Views
- 1B: Inquiry/Analysis-Define Process
- 1C: Inquiry/Analysis- Analysis
- 1D: Critically Evaluate Information and its Sources
- 1E: Fosters Constructive Team Climate
- 1F: Problem Solving/Defining the Problem
- 1G: Problem Solving/Identifying Strategies

## \$ ,QTXLU\ \$(QLDVOVVVQV .QRZOHGJH 5HVHDUFK

1	2	3	4
Minimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	Maximum Proficiency
Presents informatio06 (f)-			

% ,QTXLU\ \$HQIDQHVBWRFHVV

& ,QTXLU\ \$QDO\VLV

' &ULWLFDOO\ (YDOXDWHV ,QIRUPDWLRQ DQG

#### +2: 72 (17(5 \$66(6607 '\$7\$

1	2	3	4 Maximum Proficiency
Minimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	
Chooses a few informatic sources. Selects sources using limited criteria (sucl as 410.83(s)3.996.004 (t			

( )RVW&RQVWUXFWLYH 7HDP &OLPDWH

### 3 UREOHP 6 ROYL CHJ 3' LH RLECOLHCPJ W K

1	2	3	4
Minimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	Maximum Proficiency

Demonstrates a limited ability identifying a problem statement or related contextual factors

## \* 3UREOHP 6ROYLQJ ,GHQWLI\LQJ 6WU[

1	2	3	4
Minimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	Maximum Proficiency
Identifies one or more approaches for solving th problems that do not app within a specific content.		or solving the	Identifies multiple approaches fo solving the problem that apply within a specific context.

#### \$ .QRZOHGJH RI & \$\mathbb{Z}\DMUXHUQDHOV \@HOI

2 3 1 4 Minimal Proficiency Low Proficiency Moderate Proficiency Maximum Proficiency Shows minimal awarenes Identifies own cultural Recognizes new perspectiv of own cultural rules and rules and biases (e.g., wil about own cultural rules and biases (even those share a strong performance for biases (e.g., not looking for with own cultural group(s) those rules shared with sameness, comfortable with (e.g.uncomfortable with own cultural group and identifying possible seeks the same in others cultural differences with others.)

% .QRZOHGJH RI &XOWXUDO :RUOGYLH;

& &RQQHFWLRQV WR ([SHULHQFH

#### &RQQHFWLRQV WR 'LVFLSOLQH

1 2 3 4 Minimal Profi ciency Low Proficiency Moderate Proficiency Maximum Proficiency When prompted, present When prompted, connec Independently connects examples, facts, or examples, facts, or examples, facts, or theories theories from more than theories from more than from more than one field of one field of study or one field of study or study or perspective. perspective. perspectiv.e

( ,QIOXHQFH RI &RQWH[W DQG \$VVXPSW

) , QQRYDWLYH 7KLQNLQJ

'HVFULSWLRQ The Interpretated to transcend the boundaries of particular fields of study. They overlap, interactivith and enable the

## \$SSOLHG &ROODERUDW

'HVFULSWLRQ of applieto basis arning uggests what graduates and dowith what they know is the most critical outcome of higher education. Thoen ix College students should be able to integrate theory amplication in both academic and non-academic setting, and should be able to learn with others in the course of application projects. Research different kinds anothen sities, on another campus, on and off the internet, and formal field-based experiences (internships, practicums, community and other service-learning) are case of applied learning.

#### Associate level learning outcomes:

- o Describes in writing at least one case in which knowledge and skills acquired in academic settings may be applied to the dissed challenge, and evaluates the learning gained from the application.
- o Analyzes at least one significant concept or method in the field of study in light of learning outside the classroom.
- Locates, gathers and organizes evidence regarding a question in a field-based venue beyond formal academic study and offers alternate approaches to answering it.
- o Demonstrates the expertise of any practical skills crucial to the application of expertise.

The following six rubrics may be used to measure different aspects of applied or collaborative learning:

\$ I\$0\$( g -ections )5.605 (to )9.547 -19.47 J 45

o \$ Connections to Experience

# \$SSOLHG &ROODERUDW

## 4A: Connections to Experience

1	2	3	4
Minimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	Maximum Proficiency
Identifies connections betweenlife experiences and those academiests and ideas perceivealssimilar and relatedto owninterests.	Compare life experiences and academic knowledge infer differences, aselvas similarilies, and acknowledge perspectives therthan own.	Effectively dectsand develops examples of ife experiences, drawom a variety of community (Ejg-0.306)	Tc -95.035 -31.46f

\$SSOLFDWLRQ3HRUV(\$VHKFLWFDØHV &RQFHSV

( ,QGHSHQGHQFH

## ) )RVWHUV &RQVWUXFWLYH 7HDP &OLPD

1	2	3	4
Minimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	Maximum Proficiency

Supportsaconstructiveeam climateby doing anyone of the following:

- -Treatsteammembers respectfull by beingpolite and constructiven communication.
- -Usepositivevocalor writtentone, facial expression and/or b [(a)-3.0

#### +2: 72 (17(5 \$66(6607 '\$7\$

#### \$ \*OREBOZDUHQHVV

	1	2	3	4
N	Inimal Proficiency	Low Proficiency	Moderate Proficiency	Maximum Proficiency

Identifiessomeconnections Analyzeswaysthathuman betweeran LQGLYLGX3actionshilldentc(0965)6e0049 personadecisiormakingand certainlocalandglobal issues.

% .QRZOHGJH RI &XOWXUDO :RUOGYLHZ

& &XOWXUDO 'LYHUVLW\

' & X O W X U \$200 6 H Q H V V

1

( 'LYHUVLW\ RI & RPPXQLWLHV DQG & XO'

) &LYLF,GHQWLW\DQG&RPPLWPHQW